

Board of Directors (Public) 4 November 2021

Report Title:	Patient Experience Story			
Executive/NED Lead:	Giles Thorpe, Chief Nurse			
Report author(s):	Tammy Shepherd, Head of Patient Experience			
Previously considered by:	N/A			
☐ Approval ☑ Dis	scussion Information Assurance			
Executive summary				
n July 2021, Peter fell at home fracturing his hip. A hip fixation was performed in Colchester				

In July 2021, Peter fell at home fracturing his hip. A hip fixation was performed in Colchester hospital. Peter's recovery pathway was shaped by his Ullrich Muscular Dystrophy, and he spent 7 weeks in hospital, two weeks in Aldham Ward, Colchester, and five weeks in Durban Ward, Clacton.

Peter works as a specialist on diversity and inclusion and offers reflections from this view on his patient experience. Peter would like to thank all the staff that contributed to his care and recovery, and in addition offer reflections to the Board that are meant constructively.

Peter would like to congratulate and thank all those who ensured a successful operation, recovery and good start on the rehabilitative process. Special thanks to frontline staff, from paramedics to HCAs, nurses, doctors, physios and therapy instructors, admin staff, cleaners, and others who perform their work with such dedication and skill. It is clear that many go above and beyond, and this is particularly evident in the face of the limited resources they have to operate.

The quality of care that Peter received depended, in part, on him advocating for his own needs. In particular, the specific pathway of rehabilitation with his preexisting condition was only taken into account after the patient repeatedly raised the topic. At several points this was met with rejection or it was implied to the patient that his demands were unusual, excessive, or beyond the responsibilities of the ward to provide. It was only at the patient's instigation that those responsible for care of his Muscular Dystrophy were contacted, or an assessment was done to see what the needs would be.

Transitions are stressful for any patient, and this was compounded by the way they were handled. On arriving at Accident & Emergency, the patient was taken to the x-ray scan without being asked about whether he would need painkillers. On leaving Aldham Ward, the patient was initially informed that Durban Ward had "rejected" him because of his therapy needs.

Discharge from Durban Ward was initiated the day before an important scan that would determine the patient's future mobility, when it could have been initiated the day after that scan.

Issues around transitions also show a broader challenge of communications. Hospital staff give significant effort to communications, and consulting the patient, however there are key gaps that limit the effectiveness of these efforts. These gaps can cause unnecessary distress. The patient is not given full information on the choices they have, or visibility on the processes that concern them. One example of this is the patient's discharge letter, which was not checked with the patient (happy and able to do so), and gave incorrect information to the agency providing support on leaving hospital.

Action Required of the Board/Committee	
To note and discuss the patient / staff experience story	
Link to Strategic Objectives (SO)	Please

SO1	Keep people in control of their health		V
SO2	Lead the integration of care		>
SO3	Develop our centres of excellence		•
SO4	Support and develop our staff		•
SO4	Drive technology enabled care		
Risk Implications for the Trust (including any clinical and financial consequences)		There is a risk that a failure to have meaningful patient or staff stories in place and associated effective complaints practices and management arrangements in place there is a risk of recurrent poor experience and potential harm being caused to patients. There is an associate risk of onward referrals to the PHSO, legal claims and reputational damage. The Board listening to stories of patients' and staff's lived experiences ensures that the Trust is committed to keeping the patient, their families and the staff caring for them at the very heart of its decision-making.	
Trust R	risk Appetite	The Board has a cautious view of risk who comes to patient safety, patient experient clinical outcomes and places the principal harm, at the heart of every decision it take prepared to accept some risk if, on balar benefits are justifiable and the potential function actions are strong. When taking decisions involving choices between a warrange of outcomes, it will prioritise the opposition in the greatest benefit for the materials.	ice or e of "no kes. It is nce, the for ng ride otion
Legal and regulatory implications (including links to CQC outcomes, Monitor, inspections, audits, etc.)		A failure to ensure appropriate governant practices are in place to support positive and staff experience may lead to a bread against Regulation 16: Receiving and accomplaints and Regulation 18: Staffing a outlined in the Health and Social Care Ac (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2015.	patient ch cting on as ct 2008
Financ	ial Implications	A failure to ensure that the Board has over of current patient and staff experiences relead to ongoing and unresolved concernative and to legal claims or PHSO rulings raised against the Trust, with associate for penalties.	ersight nay s, which s being
Equalit	y and Diversity	In order to ensure that the Trust does no directly or indirectly discriminate all the negatients and staff must be considered, in accordance to the Equality Act 2010 and agenda in relation to protected character. The patient and staff stories that are shat the Board are reviewed to ensure that the not happen, and that learning is shared to ensure all considerations are given to:	leeds of I EDI ristics.
		age.disability.gender reassignment	

 marriage and civil partnership.
 pregnancy and maternity.
race.religion or belief
• sex
sexual orientation