

Board of Directors

Thursday 07 July 2022

Report Title:	Patient Experience Story
Executive/NED Lead:	Giles Thorpe, Chief Nurse
Report author(s):	Patient Experience Team
Previously considered by:	N/A
	_

☐ Approval	✓ Discussion	✓ Information	Assurance
			/ 100ai ai 100

Executive summary

Patient Experience Story to the Board

Mrs G first contacted the Chief Executive in September 2019 due to care and treatment her elderly mother was receiving in the eye clinic at Colchester Hospital. Sadly, they had recently lost her sister so this was a very difficult time for the family, especially the mother.

Mrs G then made contact again with the Chief Executive in June 2021 following further treatment for her mother in the dermatology department. Both contacts made to the Chief Executive were in relation to thanking him for the excellent service and providing him with feedback on their experiences they had received especially through what had been some very difficult circumstances.

Mrs G contacted the Patient Experience Team on 28 June as, very sadly, her elderly mother took a bad fall at home the previous Friday and it had resulted in a broken femur, which required surgery. Mrs G explained that they had to wait six hours for an ambulance and then a further six hours in Accident and Emergency until x-rays were taken and pain relief given. They fully appreciated and understood the time taken, as they had seen the headlines and it has been made abundantly clear that the NHS was facing an extraordinary amount of pressure and the pandemic had certainly taken its toll.

Mrs G and her family were then advised early Saturday morning that their mother would require surgery and that it would be undertaken either Sunday or Monday. Unfortunately, they were then advised that the surgery would have to wait until Tuesday. The family had concerns as not so much for the operation, but that their mother was getting weaker the longer she had to wait. Mrs G's Mum was in a lot of pain, for which she was being administered pain relief medication, although this in turn was making her sleep more and eat / drink less. Mrs G's Mum was originally put on a drip, as she was not capable of eating and drinking, but was taken off because she was deemed as capable of being able to take food and drink without the need for a drip. The operation was then unfortunately cancelled again, having been put on 'nil by mouth standby' and with their mother not being able to feed herself as she is laid on her back this was having a detrimental impact.

Following contact with the patient experience team, the family met with the ward sister and wider team who advised and supported the family. Surgery took place Wednesday 29 June and mum is recovering well having eaten well and receiving physiotherapy.

What didn't go well:

- The wait for the ambulance and time within A&E and especially getting some form of pain relief to help mum with the pain;
- · Operations keep being cancelled;

What went well:

- Daughter felt empowered to make contact with the Trust:
- Communication between ward staff and wider supporting team;
- Recovery once back on the ward following surgery;
- Input from physiotherapy team

Action Required of the Board/Committee

To note and discuss the patient / staff experience story

Strategic Objectives (SO)		Please tick
Keep people in control of their health		V
Lead the integration of care		V
Develop our centres of excellence		V
Support and develop our staff		V
Drive technology enabled care		
nplications for the Trust (including any and financial consequences)	There is a risk that a failure to have mean patient or staff stories in place and associate effective complaints practices and mana arrangements in place there is a risk of a poor experience and potential harm being caused to patients. There is an associate onward referrals to the PHSO, legal claim reputational damage. The Board listening stories of patients' and staff's lived expendent ensures that the Trust is committed to keep the patient, their families and the staff can them at the very heart of its decision-main effective committed to the patient, their families and the staff can be compared to the patient.	ciated gement recurrent ng te risk of ms and g to riences eeping aring for
Risk Appetite	The Board has a cautious view of risk who comes to patient safety, patient experier clinical outcomes and places the principal harm" at the heart of every decision it tal prepared to accept some risk if, on balar benefits are justifiable and the potential mitigation actions are strong. When taking decisions involving choices between a warange of outcomes, it will prioritise the opresulting in the greatest benefit for the materials.	nce or le of "no kes. It is nce, the for ng vide ption
and regulatory implications (including links coutcomes, Monitor, inspections, audits, etc.)	A failure to ensure appropriate governary practices are in place to support positive and staff experience may lead to a bread against Regulation 16: Receiving and accomplaints and Regulation 18: Staffing outlined in the Health and Social Care A (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2015	patient ch cting on as ct 2008
ial Implications	A failure to ensure that the Board has over of current patient and staff experiences relead to ongoing and unresolved concern may lead to legal claims or PHSO rulinguraised against the Trust, with associate frequenties.	versight may s, which s being
y and Diversity	In order to ensure that the Trust does not directly or indirectly discriminate all the repatients and staff must be considered, in accordance to the Equality Act 2010 and agenda in relation to protected character. The patient and staff stories that are shat the Board are reviewed to ensure that the not happen, and that learning is shared the ensure all considerations are given to: age.	needs of of the state of the st
	Keep people in control of their health Lead the integration of care Develop our centres of excellence Support and develop our staff Drive technology enabled care Inplications for the Trust (including any and financial consequences) Risk Appetite And regulatory implications (including links toutcomes, Monitor, inspections, audits, etc.) ial Implications	Keep people in control of their health Lead the integration of care Develop our centres of excellence Support and develop our staff Drive technology enabled care pilications for the Trust (including any and financial consequences) There is a risk that a failure to have mea patient or staff stories in place and asso effective complaints practices and mana arrangements in place there is a risk of poor experience and potential harm beir caused to patients. There is an association of the properties of patients and staff is lived expensures that the Trust is committed to keep the patient, their families and the staff or them at the very heart of its decision-main and the patient is a cautious view of risk were comeditive to the patient in the prepared to accept some risk (in on bala benefits are justifiable and the potential mitigation actions are strong. When taking decisions involving choices between a wearing of outcomes, it will prioritise the or resulting in the greatest benefit for the material patients. A failure to ensure appropriate governary practices are in place to support positive and staff experience may lead to a breast against regulation 16: Receiving and a complaints and Regulation 2015. A failure to ensure that the Board has of current patient and Staff experiences of current patient and Staff experiences (lead to ongoing and unresolved concern may lead to legal claims or PHSO ruling raised against the Trust, with associate the patients and staff furst be considered, in accordance to the Equality Act 2010 and agenda in relation to protected characte. The patient and staff stories that are she the Board are reviewed to ensure that the not happen, and that learning is shared ensure all considerations are given to:

 gender reassignment marriage and civil partnership. pregnancy and maternity.
race.religion or belief
sex sexual orientation